

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,800	02/22/2002	Shinichi Nishida	NEC A337	1754	
27667	7590 12/15/2004		EXAMINER		
HAYES, SOLOWAY P.C.			DI GRAZIO,	DI GRAZIO, JEANNE A	
	IING STREET		ADTIBUT	DADED AND IDED	
TUCSON, AZ	Z 85701		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/15/200	DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A/S			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/081,800	NISHIDA ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or t ould be rejected is provided bel	o)⊠ will be entered low or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:			•			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>56,57,61-64,68,69,71,73,75-78</u>	3,81-87,89,90,93,99 and 101-103.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>53-55,58-60,65-67,70,72,74,79,80</u>	0,88,91,92,94-98,100 and 104- <u>112</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-52 and 1	<u>113-123</u> .					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	ļ			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:		<i>b</i>	ρ /			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
PRIMARY EXAMINER



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As noted in the Final Rejection of August 23, 2004, the Affidavit (131) is ineffective to overcome the prior art for the reasons as given in the Final Office Action.